

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/359,809	07/23/1999	RICHARD LEVY	01064.0011-0	3299
1590 09/10/2004 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT J. EICHELBURG HODAFEL BUILDING, SUITE 200 196 ACTON ROAD			EXAMINER	
			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ANNAPOLIS, MD 21403		1714		

DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/359,809	LEVY, RICHARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on June	14 and 17, 2004.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 73-91 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>76,77 and 80-85</u> is/are allowed.	i .				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>73-75,78,79 and 86-91</u> is/are rejected	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of:	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit		d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list o	t the certified copies not received	d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	mont προμοσιμοίι (Ε.Ι.Ο-192)			

Application/Control Number: 09/359,809

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to the amendment filed June 14 and June 17, 2004.

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of Applicant canceling the claims in question.

The double patenting rejection is withdrawn in view of Applicant filing a terminal disclaimer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 73-75, 78-79 and 86-91 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (US 5,218,011) in view of Le-Khac (US 4,616,063).

Freeman teaches a gel composition comprising a gel matrix, a thickener (metal stearate soaps) and a water absorbent anionic polymer 9see abstract). The gel matrix may be silicones, petroleum gels, high viscosity esters 9fatty oils), glycols, olefins, mineral oils and fluorocarbons (see col. 7, lines 19-39). The water absorbent polymers include polyacrylic, maleic acids, acrylates, acrylamide and acrylonitrile (see col. 5, lines 55-68); col. 6, lines 1-29). The gel may contain a surfactant (see col. 7, lines 45-68). The gel composition also contains a rust inhibitor (see col. 9, lines 26-35). In Example 22, Freeman teaches a composition comprising white oil (petroleum oil), micro-

Application/Control Number: 09/359,809

Art Unit: 1714

crystalline wax and a water absorbent polymer that is of the type described in Le-Khac (US 4,616,063). Le-Khac teaches that the polymers of his invention have a water uptake up to 16,000% (see Table , col. 6). Freeman teaches that the gel matrix should provide a fairly uniform dispersal of the anionic polymer in the gel. Hence, Freeman teaches a dispersant.

Freeman does not specifically teach that the polymers of his invention absorb greater than 100 times their weight in water. However, Le-Khac teaches that the polymer illustrated in Example 22 of Freeman more than meets this limitation.

Therefore, it would be reasonable to expect that the other polymers taught in Freeman would meet the limitation regarding the amount of water the polymers are capable of absorbing.

 Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Freeman fails to teach or suggest dispersions of materials for decreasing friction comprising a super-absorbent polymer and a material for decreasing friction.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Freeman teaches a gel composition wherein a super-absorbent polymer and a material that decreases friction are combined. In order to obtain a gel, a dispersed phase and a continuous phase must be present. Therefore, since Freeman teaches a gel, Freeman meets the limitation regarding a dispersion.

Art Unit: 1714

4. Claims 76-77 and 80-85 are allowable because the prior art fails to teach or suggest the inorganic compounds, carbon or metal or the combination of water and a lubricant additive as the material for decreasing friction.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cephia D. Toomer whose telephone number is 571-272-1126. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

09359809\090704